Interview Summary	09/930,000	TETEROEN, SENO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos A. Azpuru	1615	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT			
(1) <u>Carlos A. Azpuru</u> .	(3) Pierre	Vielson Ko a Silcott	4
(2) Stan Axman. AKS man Date of Interview: 12/09/04	(4) Victoria	a Silcott	
Date of Interview: 120909			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's repres	entative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	·	
Claim(s) discussed:			÷
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\slash\hspace{-0.4cm}Q$ was reached.	g) was not reached.	h) <u></u> N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the gene reached, or any other comments:	ral nature of what was ag	reed to if an agreeme	ent was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	o copy of the amendment	iner agreed would re s that would render th	nder the claims ne claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to 1 GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, C FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	the last Office action has OR THE MAILING DATE (IT OF THE SUBSTANCE	already been filed, Al OF THIS INTERVIEW OF THE INTERVIEW	PPLICANT IS V SUMMARY
It was suggested +	hat the w	ethod of	treating
It was suggested to arteritis be replaced to	oy a met	wol of te	placing
augmenting or minich	ting certila	ige and s	synovial
Fluid by insertion in			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examine	er's signature, if requi	red

Application No.

09/938,668

Applicant(s)

PETERSEN, JENS